Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070031-8

OGC HAS REVIEWED. 9 Pebruary 1948

MISHORANDOM FOR EXCIPUTIVE POR A & M

SUBJECT: Proposed Administrative Instruction for Maritorious Suggestions Awards.

- 1. It is felt that corrections to the proposed administrative instruction indicated below be considered particularly for the following ressons:
 - (a) Sufficient weight does not seem to be laid on the fact that the meritorious suggestions are primarily those which will result in economy and over-all monetary saving.
 - (b) There is nothing in Public Law 600 or Executive Order 9817 which will -permit in-grade promotions for meritorious suggestions.
 - (c) The question should be reised as to whether the Ameria Committee may make the awards or merely serve in an advisory capacity to the Director for this purpose.
- 2. It is suggested that the first sentence of paragraph 1(a) might preferably read:

"In the interest of making continual improvement or economy in the operations of

This follows the language of Executive Order 9817. The use of the word "management" is questioned, particularly as paragraph 4 of the proposed instruction specifically declares insligible those having the assigned responsibility for the imployement of menagement.

The Committee appointed in paragraph 1(e) is called by two different names within the paragraph. It would seem preferable if one name could be agreed on -- such as "CIA Meritorious Awards Committee" or "CIA Meritorious Suggestions Amards Committee". The mere calling of the Committee the

"CIA Ameria Committee" might raise an erroneous picture of its jurisdiction by en indication that it handle all ensures for the Agency, including military.

- 4. Paragraph 1(a) of the proposed directive, in referring to the Committee membership, states that "These officers of the Committee may designate alternates. As there is only one officer -- the Ghairman -- permanance alcohold designate alternates to the Committee. Otherwise, this sentence should read, "The Members of the Committee may designate alternates. ...
- 5. Section 14 of Public Law 600 rests the sutherfity to pay cash awards for meritorious suggestions in "the head of each department". For his guidance in the making of these swards, Section 2 of Executive Order 9817 entablishes a mometary scale for payments commensurate with the amount of savings. This scale must be followed unless for special reasons the head of the department shall determine . . . that a different securit is justified". It would appear, therefore, that the Director must past on the amount of the award for a meritorious suggestion which is adopted solely or primerily because of mometary saving.

The Executive Order places the burden of passing on suggestions "in the judgment of the department head or other duly authorised authority in the department..." In view of this language, it is concluded that the Committee may pass on suggestions as the duly authorized authority in the Agency, and recommend as to the size of the smard. However, their decision should be considered advisory only, and the monetary smard should have the final approval of the Director in compliance with the terms of the Executive Order. It is recommended that this be complied with, as the General Associating Office might, at some future date, raise the question as to who authorized the expenditure of funds in this commention.

It should be noted further that when a suggestion is subspeed primarily upon the basis of improvement in operations or services rather than for reasons of economy, "the department shall determine the amount of the search."

THE SECTION (Section 3) of the Executive Order is not qualified by any table of minimum or maximum awards, the Consittee may make the final determination of the sward to be granted, subject to the statutory limitation that no one sward shall exceed \$1,000.

6. After the phrase "79th Congress" in line 2 paragraph 2 of the original draft, suggest the addition in parenthesis of the phrase "(5 U.S.C.A. 116a)" for quick reference, as this is the standard legal form of citation.

It would spain appear preferable in the conclusion of the second sentence of paragraph 2 to use the language of the Executive Order by revising to read, "suggestions for improvement or economy in the operations of \$\infty\$. I.A."

The emphasis on suggestions for economy should be stressed.

7. It would seem preferable to follow more closely the language of the Executive Order in setting forth the smarts authorised in paragraph 3. The proposed text in paragraph 3 (a)(1) does not point out clearly that this type of smart is based on a suggestion that is adopted solely or primarily because it will result in monstary saving. It is suggested that paragraph 3 be revised to reserve as follows:

"3. AWARDS AUTHORIZED.

PORTVIR

(a) The following amends are sutherised for a meritoricus suggestion which is adopted solely or primarily because it will result or has resulted in the saving of money. The execution the amend shall be based on the amount of the samual estimated naving in the first year of operation in accordance with the following table, unless, for special reasons, the Director shall shall intermine, subject to certain statutory limitations, that a different ascent is justified:

ASSA TOPACI

CVATEGO	AWARDS
\$1 \$1,000	#10 for each \$200 of savings with a minimum of \$10 for any adopted suggestion.
\$1,000 \$10,000	======================================
\$10,000 \$100,000	\$275 for the first \$10,000 of savings, and \$50 for each additional \$10,000 of savings.
\$100,000 or more	savings, and \$100 for each addi- tional \$100,000 of savings; pro- vided that (with the sameption of the Nav- and Many Departments) the maximum award for any one
Approved For Release 2001/	09/0 814650PRBAST 00 209R03 0430047083063666 \$1,600.

It is indicated in the proposed revision of paragraph 3(a) and in accordance with the Executive Order that the some tary basis for the award depends on the assumt of estimated saving in the first year of operation under the meritorious suggestion. In Eddition, the original draft should be corrected as proposed above so that the momentary suggestion with those set out in the Executive Order.

8. Paragraph 3 (a)(2) of the original draft should be eliminated. There is nothing either in Public Law 600 or Executive Order 9817 which possits special within-grade salary increases for meritorious suggestions. The foundation for these increases are based on emother law and should be properly the subject of a separate mainistrative instanction.

Pollowing paragraph 3(u), as proposed above, the following should appear:

- "(b). Then a suggestion is edopted primarily upon the basis of improvement in the operations or services of C.I.A., the Agency shall determine the amount of the sward communicate with the banefits enticipated from the suggestion. The amount of any one sward shall not exceed \$1,000.
- "(o). Cortificates, medals, or other emblems may be swarded by C.I.A. in homorary recognition of service which the Director determines to be exceptional or meritorious."
- 9. It is suggested that the original draft of paragraph 4 be reconsidered so as to include possible awards for those having specific responsibility for management improvement. Personnel in the management staff might suggest operational changes which exceed the normal requirements of the duties of their position or might produce a suggestion of such merit that the Director, in accordance with the terms of the Executive Order, might report it to the Director of the Bureau of the Budget for dissemination to all departments of the Government on the basis that the suggestion would benefit the Government service generally. The law and Executive Order provide these awards for "any civilian officer or employee of a department", and consideration should therefore be given to the possible participation of those who have management responsibilities who might make suggestions in fields other than management. This is particularly true in an Agency such as C.I.A. where the line between management and operations may in some instances be quite clearly drawn.

10. It is suggested that paragraph 5 of the original draft be revised to read as follows:

"5. EASIS FCR ANARD. America will be considered by the C.I.A. Meritorious Suggestions — America Committee where in the opinion of the Conmittee the meritorious suggestion has resulted or will result in improvement or ecuncay in the operations of the Agency by way of monetary savings, impressed exilcioney, conservation of property, improved employee-working conditions, better service to the public, or otherwise.

"In order to have the meritorious suggestion considered for an award, the suggestion must have been adopted for use in the Agency.

"No meard shall be paid for any suggestion not adopted for use within five (5) years from the date the suggestion is received by the Agency.

"No smard shall be paid to may officer or employee of C.I.A. for any suggestion which represents a part of the normal requirements of the duties of his position."

It should be noted, in connection with the fiveyear limitation set forth above, that the Agency may, in its Miscretion, change the period to one of less than five years. It is therefore suggested that the Executive for A & M determine the period which he considers appropriate should be deem five years to be too long.

- 11. The following paragraph should be included in the instruction, possibly as a new paragraph 6, with the old paragraphs 6 and 7 remmbered to 7 and 8:
 - gestion shall be in addition to the regular compensation of the recipient, and the assentance of such each seard shall constitute an agreement that the use by the United States of the suggestion for which the assent is made shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature upon the United States by the recipient, his heirs or assigns."

- 6 -

12. In order to conform to the terms of the Resoutive Order, the original paregraph 6 (a)(4) should be revised to read:

"(4). A detailed description of the suggestion with a statement of the actual saving of money which will result or has resulted in the first year of its operation."

> Walter L. Pforsheimer Assistant General Counsel

WIPforzheimer:blc